EXHIBIT 4

		1.				2 -									a de la composición dela composición de la composición dela composición de la composición de la composición dela composición dela composición de la composic
	vi .	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •													
					1, 11										* * *
				40.00	4							٠			4.4
				31. A.A			4.3	و کے انتخاب کے ا		~!~	/11-1	A) A		.at 7	2006
1.3	Cer	tain	High-	Brigl	ntnes	Ligh	t No	. 337-T <i>i</i>	\-556 (O/C)	(Vol	4) A	lugu	ıst 7,	2006
	Cer	tain	High-	Brigh	ntnes	Ligh	t No	. 337-T <i>F</i>	\-556 (O/C)	(Vol	4) A	lugu	ıst 7,	2006
	Cer	tain	High-	Brigh	ntnes:						(Voi	4) A	lugu	ıst 7,	2006
	Cer	tain	High-	Brigh	ntnes			. 337-T <i>A</i> 1 to Pag			(Voi	4) A	\ugu	ıst 7,	2006
	Cer	tain	High-	Brigh	ntnes						(Vol	4) A	lugu	ıst 7,	2006
	Cer	tain	High-	Brigh	ntnes:						(Vol	4) A	\ugu	ıst 7,	2006
	Cer	tain	High-	Brigh	ntness						(Vol	4) A	\ugu	ıst 7,	2006

CONDENSED TRANSCRIPT AND CONCORDANCE PREPARED BY:

HERITAGE REPORTING CORPORATION 1220 L Street, N.W. Suite 600 Washington, DC 20005 Phone: 202-628-4888 BSA

```
Page 811
                           BEFORE THE
(1)
           UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
(2)
(3)
(4)
    In the Matter of:
                                           Investigation No.
(5)
    CERTAIN HIGH-BRIGHTNESS LIGHT
                                          337-TA-556
(6)
    EMITTING DIODES AND PRODUCTS
(7)
    CONTAINING SAME
(8)
(9)
                       Hearing Room A
(10)
(11)
                       United States
(12)
                        International Trade Commission
(13)
                       500 E Street, Southwest
(14)
                        Washington, D.C.
(15)
(16)
                       Monday, August 7, 2006
(17)
                       Volume 4
(18)
(19)
         The parties met, pursuant to the notice of
(20)
    the Judge, at 9:30 a.m.
(21)
(22)
               BEFORE: THE HONORABLE SIDNEY HARRIS
(23)
(24)
(25)
```

```
( 1) APPEARANCES CONTINUED:
           For Respondents:
(2)
                 SEAN DEBRUINE, ESQ.
YITAI HU, ESQ.
(3)
(4)
                 S.H. MICHAEL KIM, ESQ.
(5)
                GARY MA, ESQ.
Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP
Two Palo Alto Square
(6)
(7)
(8)
                 3000 El Camino Real, Suite 400
(9)
(10)
                 Palo Alto, California 94306
(11)
                 (650) 838-2000
                        and
(12)
                 ARTHUR WINEBURG, ESQ.
(13)
                 COLLEEN M. COYLE, ESQ.
(14)
                 TOBIAS ZIMMERMAN, ESQ.
(15)
                 Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP
(16)
                 1333 New Hampshire Avenue. Northwest
(17)
                 Washington, D.C. 20036
(18)
                 (202) 887-4000
(19)
(20)
(21)
(22)
(23)
(24)
(25)
```

Page 813

XMAX(1/1)

Page 812

```
For Complainant:
(2)
                  MICHAEL LYONS, ESQ.
ANDREW J. WU, ESQ.
Morgan Lewis & Bockius LLP
(3)
(4)
(5)
                  Two Palo Alto Square
(6)
                  3000 El Camino Real, Suite 700
(7)
                  Palo Alto, California 94306 (650) 843-4000
(8)
(9)
(10)
                         and
                  DANIEL JOHNSON, JUNIOR, ESQ.
(11)
(12)
                  Morgan Lewis & Bockius LLP
                  One Market, Spear Street Tower
San Francisco, California 94105
(13)
(14)
                  (415) 442-1000
(15)
                         and
(16)
                  ERIC MAURER, ESQ.
(17)
                  CARL BRETSCHER, ESQ.
(18)
                  Morgan Lewis & Bockius LLP
(19)
(20)
                  1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, Northwest
(21)
                  Washington, D.C. 20004
(22)
                  (202) 739-3000
(23)
(24)
```

(1) APPEARANCES:

(25)

Page 814

```
For ITC Staff:
(2)
                THOMAS FUSCO, ESQ.
(3)
                CHRIS PAULRAJ, ESQ.
(4)
                Office of Unfair Import Investigations
(5)
(6)
                U.S. International Trade Commission
(7)
                500 E Street, Southwest
                Washington, D.C. 20436
(8)
                (202) 205-2781
(9)
(10)
(11)
    ALSO PRESENT: TERESA WONG, INTERPRETER
(12)
(13)
           (Index appears at end of transcript)
(14)
(15)
(16)
(17)
(18)
(19)
(20)
(21)
(22)
(23)
(24)
(25)
```

(1) APPEARANCES CONTINUED:

BSA

Page 815

(1)	PROCEEDINGS
(2)	
(3)	JUDGE HARRIS: Mr. Lyons, are we going
(4)	to start with
(5)	MR. DeBRUINE: Your Honor, if I may,
(6)	one housekeeping matter. We've had discussions
(7)	with counsel over the weekend and reached an
(8)	agreement that we will take the covenant not to
(9)	sue issue out of this case, just became aware
(10)	that it would become an evidentiary problem and
(11)	a time problem with the amount of evidence both
(12)	sides wanted to put on that.
(13)	And since it's a contract issue, we're
(14)	going to save that issue for the District
(15)	Court, rather than try and litigate a whole
(16)	fraud of the inducement claim before Your
(17)	Honor. So they've agreed.
(18)	JUDGE HARRIS: So you're dropping
(19)	MR. DeBRUINE: We're not going to go
(20)	forward with the argument that there's a
(21)	covenant not to sue the OMA product.
(22)	MR. JOHNSON: We couldn't stop them in
(23)	the first place, but we agreed that they have
(24)	dropped the covenant not to sue defense, and

(25) we'll deal with it at such time as they raise

Page 817

XMAX(2/2

- CONFIDENTIALSESSION
- (2) DIRECT EXAMINATION (Resumed)
- (3) JUDGE HARRIS: Yes, Mr. Lyons.
- (4) Whereupon-
- (5) DR. RUSSELL DUPUIS
- (6) a witness, called for examination, having been
- (7) previously duly sworn, was examined and testified
- (8) further as follows:
- (9) BY MR. LYONS:
- (10) Q. I'd like to have the joint claim chart
- (11) on the screen, page four, please. And if you'd
- (12) look at Lumileds' proposed construction from
- (13) the joint claim chart and actually, that's
- (14) not the right definition, the wafer bonding.
- (15) Dr. Dupuis, do you have an opinion
- (16) about the definition of wafer bonding as that
- (10) about the definition of water bonding as the
- (17) term is used in the '580 patent?
- (18) A. Yes, I agree with this definition.
- (19) Q. Now, could you explain the basis for
- (20) your definition, please?
- (21) A. First of all, in the '580 patent,
- (22) there are several references to the issue of
- (23) the bonded interface and the resulting device,
- (24) the needing to be mechanically robust, so it's
- (25) clearly one of the important elements in

Page 816

- (1) it again.
- (2) MR. FUSCO: Your Honor, I understood
- (3) there was a separate licensing defense. I just
- (4) wanted to find out what the status of that was.
- (5) MR. DeBRUINE: The UEC license is
- (6) separate and apart, the express license
- (7) provision is separate and apart from the
- (8) covenant not to sue.
- (9) MR. FUSCO: So that's still in.
- (10) MR. DeBRUINE: This covers the
- (11) covenant not to sue.
- (12) JUDGE HARRIS: All right.
- (13) MR. LYONS: Good morning, Your Honor.
- (14) If we could call Dr. Dupuis back to the stand?
- (15) (Whereupon, the trial proceeded in
- (16) confidential session.)
- (17)
- (18) (19)
- (20)
- (21)
- (22) (23)
- (24)
- (25)

- Page 818
- (1) achieving a product that will both be(2) manufacturable and will sustain operational
- (3) conditions of temperature and current operation
- (4) of currents.
- (5) Clearly, also within the file history
- (6) of the patent, there is a rather extensive
- (7) discussion of what robust means and how it
- (8) should be applied in these patents. And also
- (9) in the file history, there's a specific
- (10) discussion of Van der Waals as being
- (11) inadequate, and in fact, also, that's discussed
- (12) in the patent itself.
- (13) So while in general, Van der Waals
- (14) bonding is a type of wafer bonding, it's not
- (15) considered wafer bonding in light of the claims
- (16) of '580 and 316.
- (17) Q. Can we look at CX-71 and,
- (18) specifically, the definition provided in here?
- (19) Dr. Dupuis, could you explain what, if any,
- (20) effect this definition has on your opinion?
- (21) A. Well, as you saw from the title of
- (22) this book, it's a Dictionary of Electronics
- (23) published by IEEE, and under bonding, the
- (24) second definition is, "The joining of metallic
- (25) or nonmetallic materials by soldering,

Case 4:07-cv-05194-CW Document 62-5 Filed 06/05/2008 Page 5 of 5

XMAX(66/66)

Certain High-Brightness Light No. 337-TA-556 (O/C) (Vol 4) August 7, 2006

BSA Page 1071 (1) CERTIFICATION OF TRANSCRIPTION TITLE: Certain High-Brightness Light (2) INVESTIGATION NO.: 337-TA-556 HEARING DATE: August 7, 2006 (3)LOCATION: Washington, D.C. NATURE OF HEARING: Hearing (4) I hereby certify that the (5) foregoing/attached transcript is a true, correct and complete record of the above-referenced proceeding(s) of the U.S. International Trade Commission. (7) **DATE:** 8/7/06 SIGNED: CYNTHIA R. SIMMONS OTT (8) Signature of the Contractor or the Authorized Contractor's Representative (10) 1220 L Street, N.W., Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20005 (11) I hereby certify that I am not the Court Reporter and that I have proofread the above-referenced transcript of the proceeding(s) of the U.S. International Trade Commission, against the aforementioned Court Reporter's notes and recordings, (14) for accuracy in transcription in the spelling, hyphenation, punctuation and speaker identification, (15) and did not make any changes of a substantive nature. The foregoing/attached transcript is a true, correct (16) and complete transcription of the proceeding(s). SIGNED: JOHN D. LASHER (17)Signature of Proofreader (18) I hereby certify that I reported the (19) above-referenced proceeding(s) of the U.S. International Trade Commission and caused to be (20)prepared from my tapes and notes of the proceedings a true, correct and complete verbatim recording of the

(25)

(21) (22)

proceeding(s).

Signature of Court Reporter

SIGNED: CYNTHIA R. SIMMONS OTT